UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT of NEW YORK

YURI COUTO,

Case 1:23-CV-09306-LJL

an individual,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., a Delaware corporation.

CHALLENGE TO
AUTHORITY &
DEMAND TO SHOW
CAUSE AS PRESENTED
BY AFFIDAVIT OF YURI
COUTO

To the Honorable Judge Liman of United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

I, Yuri Couto, Plaintiff, hereby and herein make my timely challenge to authority pursuant to the US Constitution's full faith and credit clause and Texas Code of Civil

Procedure Rule 12, which provides that the motions and pleadings of any attorney who cannot show authority to defend or prosecute a particular case must be stricken from the record.

Furthermore, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation v Merrill, 332 US 380 at 384, "Whatever the form in which the Government functions, anyone entering into an arrangement with the Government takes the risk of having accurately ascertained that he who purports to act for the Government stays within the bounds of his authority ... And this is so even though, as here, the agent himself may have been unaware of the limitations upon his authority." As further

Couto v. Chase Bank Page | 1 of 4

supported by <u>Tarver</u>, <u>Steele & Co. v. Pendleton Gin Co.</u> 25 SW 2d 156 (Tex Civ Appl 1930)

Principal and Agent – "<u>Agency is never presumed</u>, <u>and burden of proof is on one asserting its</u>

<u>existence</u>."

TEXAS RULE 12 CHALLENGE

1. Without waiving any other remedy or right, I, Yuri Couto, Plaintiff, state that I do not believe that Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP have the authority to act for the Defendant and hereby and herein make this challenge pursuant to Rule 12 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Full Faith and Credit Clause and make a substantive challenge as a matter of right as to associated fundamental principles of LAW both State and Federal. Lawful proof of the authority of Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP is hereby demanded, and if there is any subrogation and/or insurable interest, that the actual policies are made available to me at least ten days in advance and also produced on the record, and put into evidence with full disclosure as to whom is covered, and for what specific acts, thus no appearance by Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP until this is settled. A hearing is necessary to ascertain the relevant evidence, after which, if authority can be shown, the court shall then declare specifically whether it presumes that Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP are employees OR independent contractors. I demand full disclosure of what Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP fees are with each party with any and all costs being disclosed since attorneys have

Couto v. Chase Bank Page | 2 of 4

- been found to have two sets of accounting, as in \$300 / hour if the clients lose and have to pay OR \$500 / hour if the opposing party is ordered to pay.
- 2. I hereby and herein make my Offer of Proof that Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP have by their actions verified their intent to violate oaths to the Constitution and proceed with involvement in the criminal cover up of criminal acts, in the nature of acting in violation of 18 USC 1348, Securities and commodities fraud, Texas Penal Code 38.05 Hindering Apprehension or Prosecution by specific intent, and other CRIMES executed by specific intent in the nature of Deceptive Trade Practices, acting ultra vires in an ongoing scheme which still has the potential to commit even more Stolen Securities Transportation and Laundering of Monetary Instruments as defined and punishable by 18 USC 2314 and 18 USC 1956.
- A show cause hearing is demanded as to authority, if any, of that Scott W. Parker; Diane
 Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP.

VERIFICATION 28 USC 1746(1)

Respectfully submitted under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct,

/s/ Couto, Yuri-Jacintho, agent and bene. without prejudice Date: January 26, 2024 YURI J COUTO, Principal c/o 9302 N 28th St
Tampa, Florida [33612]
Hillsborough County
813-846-2395
Yuric96@yahoo.ca

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Upon Conference, Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP are **OPPOSED** to this Challenge to Authority pursuant to Rule 12 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Constitutional Full Faith and Credit Clause, and associated fundamental principles of law.

/s/ Couto, Yuri-Jacintho, agent and bene. without prejudice Date: January 26, 2024 YURI J COUTO, Principal

Hearing on the above matter is set for am on the	day of the
month in the year 2024.	
	Judge Presiding

FIAT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document is sent to the Defendant, plus under protest sent by email to Scott W. Parker; Diane C. Ragosa and/or PARKER IBRAHIM & BERG LLP.

/s/ Couto, Yuri-Jacintho, agent and bene. without prejudice Date: January 26, 2024 YURI J COUTO, Principal

Couto v. Chase Bank Page | 4 of 4